

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
٠	10/517,501	09/13/2005	Alexander Papra	DT-6927	1255
	30377 DAVID TORE		01/25/2007 SO. EXAMINER		INER
	ABELMAN FR	RAYNE & SCHWAB		JUNG, UNSU	
	666 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017-5621			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1641	
_					
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•	31 D	AYS	01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,501	PAPRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Unsu Jung	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	nuary 2006.	•				
,— ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19 is/are pending in the app	4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/517,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' amendments to cancel claim 18, add claim 19, amend claims 3, 5-7,

10-17 in the reply filed on December 10, 2004 have been acknowledged and entered.

2. Claims 1-17 and 19 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

List I: Polyol

A. Monosaccharide

- B. Disaccharide
- C. Trisaccharide
- D. Trehalose

List II: Solid-Phase Substrate

- A. Biochip
- B. Enzyme chip
- C. Protein array
- D. Filter membrane
- E. Microbead
- F. Reaction vessel
- G. Micro-channel system
- H. Flow-through tube system
- I. Tip of a pipette
- J. Flow-through cannula
- K. Slide

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from two lists of species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

List I: Polyol (claims 5, 6, 15, and 16)

- A. Monosaccharide (claims 5 and 15)
- B. Disaccharide (claims 5 and 15)
- C. Trisaccharide (claims 5 and 15)
- D. Trehalose (claims 6 and 16)

List II: Solid-Phase Substrate (claims 7, 17, and 19)

- A. Biochip (claims 7 and 17)
- B. Enzyme chip (claims 7 and 17)
- C. Protein array (claims 7 and 17)
- D. Filter membrane (claims 7 and 17)
- E. Microbead (claims 7 and 17)
- F. Reaction vessel (claims 7 and 17)
- G. Micro-channel system (claims 7 and 17)
- H. Flow-through tube system (claims 7 and 17)
- I. Tip of a pipette (claims 7 and 17)
- J. Flow-through cannula (claims 7 and 17)
- K. Slide (claim 19)

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-4 and 8-14.

Art Unit: 1641

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species of polyol have different special technical features as each species of polyol represents a chemical compound with distinct structure and molecular formula. Further, each species of solid-phase substrate do not share the same special technical feature as each species of solid-phase substrate includes structurally and materially distinct substrate. Therefore, each of the species as listed above lack unity, as the species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,501 Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Unsu Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-8506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,501

Art Unit: 1641

Unsu Jung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE 6/17/07
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6